UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

	V.	ORDI	ER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
	Jorge Humberto Coss-Vasquez	Case Number:	11-6355M	
and was re	unce with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a presented by counsel. I conclude by a preponderal endant pending trial in this case.			
		NGS OF FACT		
•	preponderance of the evidence that:			
×		States or lawfully adr	mitted for permanent residence.	
×	The defendant, at the time of the charged of	defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.		
×	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.			
	The defendant has no significant contacts in	the United States or	r in the District of Arizona.	
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.			
X	The defendant has a prior criminal history.			
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.			
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but substantial family ties to Mexico.	has no substantial	ties in Arizona or in the United States and ha	
	There is a record of the defendant using nur	nerous aliases.		
	The defendant attempted to evade law enfor	cement contact by fl	leeing from law enforcement.	
	The defendant is facing a maximum of	у	vears imprisonment.	
Th at the time	ne Court incorporates by reference the material find e of the hearing in this matter, except as noted in the CONCLU	ings of the Pretrial Sene record. JSIONS OF LAW	ervices Agency which were reviewed by the Cou	
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defendant wi No condition or combination of conditions wi DIRECTIONS R	ll flee. Il reasonably assure E GARDING DETEN '	the appearance of the defendant as required.	
a correction appeal. The of the United	ne defendant is committed to the custody of the Attens facility separate, to the extent practicable, from particle defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opported States or on request of an attorney for the Gove to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an ARREAL SAND	persons awaiting or so unity for private cons rnment, the person in appearance in conr	erving sentences or being held in custody pending sultation with defense counsel. On order of a coun in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the nection with a court proceeding.	
APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the Distr Court.				
IT Services s	IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the Die the potential third party custodian.	I party is to be conside strict Court to allow	dered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretria Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and	
D	ATED this 15 th day of July, 2011.			

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge